App. F. IX-4

1928. (Judicial)

File No. 260-28

Serial Nos. 1-8

Previous References
Jud 181-22-1-4
132-22-5 6
614-19-1-5 & 8

Later References
Jud 311-29-3-4
" 16-30 -2
" 222-26-1
" 64-37(3-4)
197-40-1-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 8

Part B for October 1929

SUBJECT

Adjustment of the loan account of the Sri Sivaganga Math by recovering certain items as shown in the Dr Cs report.

G.O. No 837-8 dated 22.10.29

To the Jud. Comr & Comptr

Sanctions the recommendation of the Jud. Comr

General and Revenue Secretariat

Army File No 260 of 192 8

Serial No.	
1	Letter No 1092/28.29 dated the 15/16th January 1929 from the Umpyai Commissioner in Mysore re commencing for reasons given, that the Sri Sivaganga Matt building at Bangalore may be taken over for locating the Veda Patasala permanently therein. The Umpyai Commissioner makes the following recommendations: (1) That the Sivaganga Matt building at Bangalore ~~be taken over on lease~~ taken over for the Veda Patasala permanently, 2. That the Karnataka and the North Kanara Basel Missions be given the option of the Lease surrendered by them the building being treated as free from Contribution Encumbrances for reasons explained

NOTE - Contn. sheet

Serial No.

It is next stated by the ... Commissioner that the ... Madras Government have ... Consulted in the matter ... are opposed to ... and in that the Municipal ... of the Madras ... are ... to ... any ... of their ... and in the ... by the Municipality ... indicated.

Submitted for orders.

GS, 26/6/29

Mr. Hitchcock

It is because of the necessity for a [illegible] accommodation in Bangalore as in the case of the Servants Quarters that the building which was originally intended as a hotel was decided to be treated as exclusive property of the Maha. Now that the Swami is a minor & the affairs of the Math are under Government management, and as such the proper step to be taken seems to be to do everything towards the liquidation of the loan by reduction of expenditure in all

NOTE - Contn. sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No | of 192[illegible].

Serial No.

2852/52

possible ways. about which separate proposals are promised by the M.C and improvement of the income as to which the ~~Board~~ may well ~~be~~ be consulted & the whole position can completely examined before Government can think of taking over the building for their own use. Meanwhile the amount paid by Govt. may be ordered to be appropriated towards the interest payments.

(Sd) [illegible]

1st member

28/1

1/2

13/1/28

No. 4960

I agree. If it is at all possible the Mutt might be allowed to ~~occupy~~ occupy the building as already decided. At the same time, the present position of no attempt on the part of the Mutt to repay the loans borrowed by it is most unsatisfactory and some effective action should be taken for arranging for the repayment of the two debts. The possibilities of improving the revenue of the Mutt and reducing its expenditure require to be investigated. Reference is made in the preamble of G.O. dated 4th January 1928 to the income from the Sanyasimalli Estate and

NOTE—Contn. sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat. Muz. File No. 260 of 192 3

Serial No.

and it is necessary to enquire whether such income is being realised and if not, in what matter steps the authorities should be approached ture provides for a charge of Rs 600 on account of a clerk in the Muzrai Superintendent's office on Rs 20 plus 5. Is this expenditure actually incurred, and is there need for continuing it? The rent paid for the building by the vaid sala should not be made available for the Mutt by the Mutt. Commencing from the current year it should be utilised towards the repayment of the two loans. It may be adjusted in the ratio of 2 to 1 towards the principal of the two loans borrowed from Kalesesvaraswami Temple and Nowl Krishnadoss charities. Adjustment month by month is inconvenient and so may therefore be arranged once a year in the month of June in consultation with the Comptroller. The Muzrai Commissioner may be addressed as above.

2 Lo M. C. No. 1179

Call for rpt

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Shall we

TD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 260 of 1928

Serial No.

From the Nungrai Commr. in report
No 1092/289-9 29/27th dt 6th 1929.
Requests instructions re the effect to be
given to G O 9-21st dt 6th 1929 on the question
of utilizing the Rent paid for the Swayamu
manya Rdg. at Bllore, by the Veda Pathasala
From
The Nungrai Comm.
No 1092/28-9 Enl 1. dt 12/14 March 1929.
In Contn of the above, forwards copy of order
of Govt. Bllore with re the affairs of the
Jodi Sangavinalli endowed to the Sri
Swayamu manya & Requests the file
No Nung 28-18.82 dt 29th March 1924 339-7-2
of 4th Jan 1922 be sent for refce.
Subto with refce to members note Para 4.
(1) Separate orders are since issued re
recovery of arrears from the lessee of Sangavi
halli. vide linked file
(2) The pay of the clerk is now reported to be
met from the Nungrai Estt Fund, but Contn
bution from the mutt is received towards the
Fund :-
(3) The refce desired to be sent for refce
will be ~~obtained from the~~ Sent. If appd.
Draft letter is subd for appd or orders.

Delay due to correspondence
with Govt. Rev. Dept Records for Nung. for
the production of file No 39-93,
which is not available.

WDS
29/5

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—16-4-28

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 260 of 1928

Serial No.

11 Dom 2 postcard at once

4 Do The I. G. Cus. Delhi No 16 dated 3/5/15

Do: certified copies of the orders passed by the Collr. of Customs Bombay in 1892-93 with Dft. No. 36-93 Cus.

17 Kamptee file 36-93 wanted by the Kamptee Commr is traced and sent with a dft forwarding it to the office.

C.B. 18/7/29

13 with a note Dom to submit Number note of date by which Dft was sent to Kamptee and received 1877

DM 1877

5 Do. The Dy. Comr Kamptee No 181 of 30-7-29

Do for reference & return the Kamptee No: 36-93-4.

Flag A in Limited file

14 Issued and posted as desired.

Ad

15 In this office letter No 2641/Kamptee 424-28-2 dated the 28th May 1929 the Kamptee Commissioner has been requested to give effect to the sale order of the Dy Comr, about Kamptee shelli material and to take immediate action to secure the dues of Govt and of the mate-

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

General and Revenue Secretariat

Vavuniya File No. 260 of 192 8

Serial No.

The officer has also been requested to report on the action taken by him in the matter.

Re First Members query about the source for meeting the pay of the Clerk of the Sinnakanyar matt, working in the Muzrai Comm's office Kind reference is invited to para 2 of the Muzrai Comm's letter dated 12th/14th March 1929 stating that the pay of the Clerk is met from the Muzrai Establishment Fund.

Orders are solicited on the question raised by the M.C. in his letter ~~dated~~ dated 25/27th July 1929 re date of commencement for utilising the rent paid for the Sinnakanya matt lands by the Vedda Patanala, towards the repayment of the loans of the Kalawa temple & the Naval Nainativu Dess Charities. The orders have therefore to take effect from 1st July 1929 as the Resolutions were passed in July 1929. Reported for orders.

C&C,

22/7/29 (for Member)

WD 22/7/29

Flag Y

Z

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL
23 JULY 1929
No. 541

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 22/7

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

General and Revenue Secretariat | Muz. File No. 260 of 1923.

Serial No.

The utilisation of the rent payable by the Veda Vaha Patasala for repayment of the amounts borrowed from the Kaleses'arasvami Temple and Nowl Krishna Doss charities was ordered on the 21st February 1929. The rent payable on and after the 1st March 1929 should have been with-held for application as directed and effect may be given to the order accordingly.

2. Now that it is ascertained that the Clerk on Rs 20+5 is ~~fx~~ paid from the Muzrai Establishment Fund and not from the funds of the institution, ~~the~~ sum of Rs 300 for which provision is made in the scale of expenditure of the Mutt in the order dated 4th January 1922 should also be applied to the reduction of the Mutt's debts in the same proportion as the rent revenue from the Patasala building.

All arrears realised from the Sanyasinalli Estate, according to the Deputy Commissioner's order dated 25th February 1929, may also be required to be applied to the repayment of the amounts borrowed from the Kaleseswaraswami Temple and Nowl Krishna Doss charities.

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

Serial No.

The utilisation of the rent payable by the Veda Vaha Patasala for repayment of the amounts borrowed from the Kaleseswaraswami Temple and Nowl Krishna Doss charities was ordered on the 21st February 1929. The rent payable on and after the 1st March 1929 should have been withheld for application as directed and effect may be given to the order accordingly.

2. Now that it is ascertained that the Clerk on Rs 20+5 is ~~fx~~ paid from the Muzrai Establishment Fund and not from the funds of the institution, ~~the~~ sum of Rs 300 for which provision is made in the scale of expenditure of the Mutt in the order dated 4th January 1922 should also be applied to the reduction of the Mutt's debt in the same proportion as the rent revenue from the Patasala building.

All arrears realised from the Sanyasinalli Estate, according to the Deputy Commissioner's order dated 25th February 1929, may also be required to be applied to the repayment of the amounts borrowed from the Kaleseswaraswami Temple and Nowl Krishna Doss charities.

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

General and Revenue Secretariat | Inam (C) File No. 260 of 192 :

Serial No.	
6	To The Inam Commr " Comptr. " D.C. Bangalore " Do Tumkur " Do Kadur No 214-17 Dt 3-8-29 States that the rent payable by the Sri Chamarajendra Veda Pathsala Bangalore should be utilised from 1-3-29 for the prepayment of the amounts borrowed by the Siva Ganga Mutt from the Sri Kalasesvaraswamy Temple & Nowl Krishnadas Charities &c.
7	Letter No C 10 92/28-9 dated the 14th/16th Sept 1929 from the Inam Commr in Coorg reporting that the rent of Rs 200/- paid from the Sri Chamarajendra Veda Pathsala, Bangalore, has been sent to the Mudgere & Sanyasipatna Taluks for payment of the loans of Sri Kalaseswaraswami Temple & the Nowl Krishna Dass Charities that the arrears of Kandayam from Jodi Sanyasikulli Estate would also be appropriated towards the repayment of the loans, when the Sheristadar is re-called

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. of 192

Serial No.

that the sum of Rs 300/- (provided in the scale for the pay of the Sinnagar Mail Clerk) would be withheld towards the recovery of the Mails debts and pecuniary ~~for recovery since~~, the refund to the mail from the Imprest Establishment Fund of the sum of Rs 585-10-0 which was irregularly drawn on account of the pay of the clerk of the mail, in excess of the current dues. Submitted for orders. The proposal C.A. to commence 1st April is addressed to the Secretary to the Govt. C.E.

4/10/29

4/10

37 Under what authority was the clerk kept entertained? What were his duties? How are they being performed after August 1928?

5/10.

No. 4389.

Note—Contn. Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 260 of 1929.

Serial No.

Not requiring orders for consideration.

34 [With reference to Secy's orders at para 37, I suppose it is intermittent. That below is now asked for by the Munzai Comm. is to withdraw Rs 588-10-0 from the Kl. Munzai fund and utilise it for payment of the Coconut matt. below, seems to have happened in this

~~matter~~

The scale of Rs and Cents of the matt was fixed in January 1922 and provision has been made therein, of a sum of Rs 300/-, to meet the pay of the Clerk of the ~~Divisional~~ matt Munzai Comm's office, who is attending to the work Comm. with the Liaison Officer and this amount was drawn ~~on~~ from the matt funds and the Clerk paid. E 15 below ~~Contributions~~

E

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. of 192

Serial No.

4 the clerk upto the end of August 1928.

But in the meanwhile contributions towards cost of establishments began to be recovered from the funds of institutions from ~~1926-27~~ 1st July 1926 & the sum of Rs 16 14/- is stated to have been credited to the ~~purpose~~ Establishment Fund from the funds of the malt, for the period of 3 years from 1926-27 to 1928-. This has resulted in double recovery of the ~~said~~ sum of Rs 580-10-0, as her statement showed ~~as~~ from 1st July 1926 to end of August 1928 and this amount is asked to be refunded to the malt funds ~~in the first instance to be utilised~~ and utilised for part re-imbursement of the loans of the malt. A clerk to look after the work of the malt

Hug C

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 266 of 192

Serial No.

was entertained for the Company's Common office when the official affairs of the mill had taken over by Govt for Management and for shall Co working in that office

C.C. I Mr Pay's letter dated 11/10/29 that the clerk is being paid for the August 15 from Mr. Pay Govt fund & the separate amount is drawn therefore for payment to him from that fund

28/11/29.

Thanks. The draft is cleared - No further reference from the M.C is necessary

2 The M.C is recommended for a refund of the amount which should may be sanctioned - This amount may also be credited thereon

1st member 11/10/29

43 Secretary

M/C 3/10/29

44 Draft 11/10.

4575

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX
12 OCT. 1929
No. 2284

Note—Contn. Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 260 of 192 8.

Serial No.

45 B 6 1 & ends for approval
C.G
14/10/29

4.6 Jm
14/10

8 2. Vide long cover
& comply
No 887-8 dy 22-10-29.
Sanctions the recommendation of the Dr. C

Encl A
CG
31/10/29
13 13
lw
7/11

Note—Contn. Sheet

W.D 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

M. C. 13]

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 15th January 1929.

16 JAN.

No. 1092
2867

REV. SECRT.
17 JAN
MUZRAI
D1070

FROM

P. C. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Muz 260-29-1

To

B. Srinivasa Ayengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

Vuzdil
h
W 8 e

W/181

SIR,

I have the honor to state that in their order No.8302-6/Fin.41-19-5 dated 5th April 1920, Government sanctioned the grant of a loan of Rs.15000/- to the Sri Sivaganga Matha from the funds of the Sri -- Kalaseswaraswami temple at Kalasa on the security of the new matha -- building in Bangalore, with a view to find funds for the completion of the construction of the building and for the payment of certain other charges of the institution. The loan which carried 5% interest was made repayable within a period of 10 years.

In Government Orders Nos.2960-61/Muz.182-33-4 dated 11th June 1[illegible] and 1226-7/Muz.181-33-4 dated 10th March 1934 a further loan of ----- Rs.3650/- was sanctioned in the aggregate from the funds of the Gokul Krishna Doss charities for paying certain creditors of the Matha.

Towards the first loan three instalments of Rs.1500/- each was paid to Kalasa temple and further payments subsequent to 1933-34 have fallen into arrears. No portion of the loan borrowed from the Gokul ----- Krishna Doss charities has been paid at all. Including the interest - charged on the loans, the matha is liable for the payment of the sum of Rs.13,395-0-0 to the Kalasa temple and Rs.4075-0-0 to the Gokul Krishna Doss charities at the end of December 1[illegible].

2. The building which was primarily meant to be used as a Students' Home attached to the Sri Chamarajendra Sanskrit College, Bangalore, was constructed out of funds made available as follows.--

(i)	Donation by the late Swamigalu	Rs. 5000- 0- 0
(ii)	Contribution from Chamarajnagar temple funds.	2000- 0- 0
(iii)	do Kalasa temple funds.	3000- 0- 0
(iV)	do Sivaganga Gangadhareswara temple	2095- 0- 0
(v)	Cost of sites paid by Government	7727- 5- 6
(vi)	Contribution from Matha funds.	6350- 0- 0
&(vii)	Interest on Savings Bank Deposits.	550- 0- 0
	Total Rs.	26722- 5- 6

It will be seen that against a sum of Rs.11900/- (including the donation of the late Swamigalu and the interest on Savings -- Bank deposit), the Government and the three Muzrai temples have -- contributed a much larger amount, viz., Rs.14822-0-0. Strictly --- speaking the temples are entitled to a refund of their contribution when the primary object for which the building was constructed was - changed. But as in para 2 of the Government Order dated 5th April 1920 it has been directed that the building should henceforth be -- considred as the exclusive property of the matha, the intention of Government seems to have been to waive the recovery of these amounts from the matha. More-over, they are not shown as outstandings ---- either in the Government or matha accounts.

The Dharmadarsis of the Kalasa temple have been repeatedly urging for the payment of the arrear instalments and the accumulated interest Sri Chamarajendra Veda Maha Patasala is located in the building since August 1926 and the monthly rent of Rs.50/- is credited to Matha -- funds in the State Huzur Treasury. In my inspection notes of the - Kalasa temple on 13th April 1928, I have observed as follows:--

X X X

The Muzrai Department is paying a monthly rent of Rs.50/- for the Veda Patasala. The payment may be with-held and adjusted towards the interest. Early action should be taken as regards the final -- adjustment of the loan. X X "

The matha is continuously working with a debit balance and there is no doubt that the income as estimated in G.O.No.3246-8/Muz.339-21-2 dated 4th January 1922 is much in excess of the actual realisations

and

and an appreciable reduction in the budgetted items of expenditure is -- both urgent and necessary. Proposals on this behalf will be separately sent up for the orders of Government.

4. The Sri Chamarajendra Veda Maha Patasala is without a permanent habitation and it seems to be very necessary that there should be a -- suitable building for locating it. As the building was constructed - as an adjunct to the Sanskrit College, it very well answers the requirements of both the patasala and the Hostel.

Having regard to the present and the prospective financial --- position of the Matha, it is very doubtful if it will ever be able to pay back the loans due to the Sri Kalaseswaraswami temple and the --- Nowl Krishna Doss charities. I am therefore of opinion that the --- building may be taken over for locating the Veda Patasala permanently, the Kalasa temple and the Nowl Krishna Doss charities being paid half the amount of the loans advanced by them, the other half being treated as a further compensation for the charitable object of encouraging --- Sanskrit studies. The arrangement I have suggested is of ---- decided advantage to the Sri Sivaganga Matha as it will be relieved from the liability to pay a loan which it has no means of ----- discharging, while the object with which the building has been ----- started will have been fulfilled. I have no objection to the entire loans if necessary being excused provided all rights of the Sri --- Sivaganga Matha over the building are extinguished. If the above proposal is accepted, necessary provision will be made in the budget estimates of the Muzrai Department for the year 1929-30.

5. I solicit the favour of early orders in the matter.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

N. Ramaraj by 15/1/29
Assistant Commissioner
for Muzrai Commissioner.
(absent from station)

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT..... .....

.....

.....

Section Mun

File No. 260-28

Serial No.

No. 1665

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

.....

Date of issue 21/2/29

Signed by .....

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

WD 0399—GPB—20,000—18-9-28

over

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

Further;

Further

Should be intimated

I am to request that all the above points may be considered and a detailed report forwarded thereon early.

19/2

WD 2330—GPB—1,00,000—23 7-20

M. C. 13]

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore.....25th. February..... .. 1929.

No. 1092 / 28-9.

FROM

P. G. D'Souza, Esq., P.A., B.L.,
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

B. Srirvasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., P.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

I have the honor to state that in the concluding portion of para 1 of your letter No.1665/Muz.260-28-2 dated 21st February 1929 it has been ordered that the rent paid for the Sivaganga Matha building by the Veda Patasala be utilized towards the repayment of the lands due to the Kalasa Temple and the Nowl Krishna Doss Charities, commencing from the Current year. I request to be informed whether the arrangement ordered above has to be given effect to from the current official or calendar year, as the direction in the letter under reply is not clear.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for Assistant Commissioner
for Muzrai Commissioner.
(absent on leave)

M. C. 13]

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 13th M. 192

No. 1092 Eng 28-9

FROM

P. G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., P.L.,
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., P.L.,
Secretary to the Govern ent of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

In continuation of this office letter No.1093/28-29 dated 17th February 1929, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of the order passed by the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District regarding the affairs of the Jodi Sanyasihalli village in the Devanahalli Taluk endowed to the Sri Sivaganga Matha and to state that it gives in succinct detail all the required information. Enquiries are being made as to whether Mr. C. Raghunatha Rao has agreed to pay up the entire dues within six months and if not, what further action is being taken to give effect to the other portion of the Deputy Commissioner's order and to recover the heavy ---- arrears due by the Lessee.

2. As regards the clerk attached to this office, I beg to state that the contribution to the Muzrai Establishment Fund is recovered from the Matha and the pay of the clerk met from the Fund and not from the Fund institution funds.

3. In this connection, I request that the Secretariat collections leading to the issue of Government Orders Nos.1860-81/Muz.Fe-95 -- dated 27th November 1904, 2994-3004/Muz.288-18-30 dated 28th March 1919 and 3246-8/Muz.339-21-2 dated 4th January 1922 sanctioning --

the

~~the~~ sanctioning revised scales of expenditure in the Matha may kindly be sent for reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M Thomas
Assistant Commissioner
for Murrai Commissioner.
Absent from Station

HL

In the Court of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Appeal No.C.6-1310 of 1937-38.

Appellart Mr. C. Raghunatha Rao, permanent tenant of the Sanyasihalli village, Devanhal Taluk.

Order.

The Jodi village in question belongs to the Bhavage Mutt and the lands are in the enjoyment and possession of the appellant for a long time. He pays the jodi to Government and the fasle amount to the Matha. At present the Government are looking after the properties during the minority of the Swamiguru.

2. The appellant is a chronic defaulter and owes the Government and the Matha over Rs.9,000/-. The Sub-Division Officer rightly ordered that the right, title and interest of the appellant may be sold for the amounts due. I however ordered that as some amount was due to Government the village itself may be sold for arrears of land (land Revenue). This was accordingly done and the same was purchased on behalf of the Matha. It was contended before me that the sale was irregular I have carefully perused the records and find nothing irregular in it.

3. Another contention of the appellant was that the Government are responsible for his falling into arrears as they promised to recover certain amounts from the British Military authorities for using the lands as a camping ground etc., but did not subsequently carry out the promise. This is not a correct way of putting the matter. The Government put off recovering the appellant's dues to the latter on presenting a large bill for damages to and use of his lands. It was however subsequently found out that the claim was unsustainable. The appellant is himself to blame for this state of things.

4. As the sale is regularly held the same is confirmed.

5. The appellant represented that he will lose very heavily if the sale is confirmed as he has handsome offers from outsiders who ----- hesitated to purchase his right, title and interest on account of the present proceedings. This is an empty excuse. Nothing prevented him coming to terms with the prospective buyer and settling the Government and Joddidars dues and asking the purchaser to pay the amounts due to Government. Even now I dare say the Government will allow him to sell the lands and pay the full amount due to the Matha. They can do so as Managers of the Mirror Swamiguru's properties. I am prepared to make such a recommendation to Government if the appellant agrees to pay

pay up the dues of the Matha in six months.

6. If the amount due to the Matha is not paid within six -- months on these conditions the Government will parcel out the lands into small survey Nos. of convenient size and dispose - of them on behalf of the Matha by auction.

7. In conclusion I may mention that the sale notification once the arrears of jodi due to Government was duly published in the -- Gazette long before the sale and also served on the appellant's so on 25th January 1928. As the sale was held on 2nd March 1928 the appellant's contention that the notice was not served on himself - is untenable especially in view of the fact that he was residing at the time at Kumbhakonam out of the Mysore State (vide his son's -- endorsement in the file.) At the time of the sale the Sub-Division officer told that if the appellant paid up the amount strictly legall due he would drop sale proceedings. The appellant did not pay up at least the Government dues then and save lands from sale for arrears of jodi.

8. In these circumstances the appeal is dismissed.

(Sd.) G.B. Heblikar,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.
25/2/1929.

"C o p y"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

Appendix F G–1

SUBJECT..... .....

.....

.....

Section Mug

File No. 260-28

Serial No. 4

No. 2716. Ernakulam Collectorate

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

.... Mug. Coorg.....

Date of issue 1/6/29

Sir

Signed by [illegible]

As desired by you in Para 3 of your letter No 1092/28-29 dt 17th March 1929, I am directed to send you the marginally noted files for ~~inf~~ reference & return as regards file no. 34-93; ~~the~~ it is not yet traced here. After it is traced, the same will be forwarded to you, if it is considered quite necessary for reference.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Secretary.

Mug. 7. 3241-8/Mug 339-21 2 dt. 4th Jan 1922

2994-3002/M7. 378-18-38 dt. 29.3-1919

The Collector of [illegible]

st of enclosures.....

Copied by..... 29/5

Examined by.....

WD 0399—GPB—20,000—18-9-28

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Imuyyai

File No. 260-28

Serial No. 5

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No.

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Imuyyai)

the *192*

To

The Imuyyai Court

in Impure Purgatore.

Date of issue.....

Signed by .....

Sir

In continuation of this office letter No 2746/Imuy 260-28-4 dated the 18th June 1929 I am directed to forward herewith, as requested, Imuyyai File No 36-93-4 relating to the Taking ~~Cases~~ under Estate management the Lesi Sivagangai Matt in the Nellampalai Pk Vill



7

st of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

its inclosures," and
to request that it
may be returned
when done with.

I remain

MS
1877

W.F. Lee to East

QB
NW

18/1/77

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Mysore

File No. 260-28

Serial No. 6

No. 213

Diary No. of letter replied to.....1070/1407

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Mysore)

the *192*

To

The Mysore Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

Date of issue.....3-6-29.....

Signed by .....M. V. S.....

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No 1092/28-29 dated the 12th/16th March 1929 about the repayment of the loan taken by the Sri Sivaganga Matt, I am directed to state that the rent payable by the Sri Chamarajendra Veda Mahat

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

Pattisada Bangalore, should
duly be utilised for the
repayment of the amounts
borrowed from the Sri
Krishnarajendra Sanskrit
Temple and the Nowl
Krishna Das Charities
with effect from the 1st
March 1929.

As it is now reported
that the Peon of the Swa
Ganga Clerk, working in the
office of the Inam Commissioner is not from
the Inam Establishment Fund and not from
the Funds of the Institution,
the sum of Rs 300/-, for
which provision is made
in the scale of expenditure
of the matt in the order dated
the 21st January 1922, on the
subject, should also be
applied to the reduction of
the matt's debts in the same

with effect from the 1st March 1929,

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

Incorporation as the
Trust property of
the Patwardhan Building.

3 All arrears realised
from the Lanjanibelli
estate, according to the
Deputy Commrs orders
dated the 25th July 1929,
should also be applied
to the repayment of the
amounts borrowed from
the Kalaseswaraswamy
temple and the Vasal
Venkatasubbaiah Charities.

I have &c

Dy Secy. to Govt.

Memo no

* Copies of the above
are to be forwarded with
Compliments to the
Comptroller to Govt
the Deputy Commrs

of the Bangalore,
Mysore and Madras
Districts for information.

By order

W/S
Secretary to Govt

C.C.
25/7/15
LW
25/7

GPB—15,000—13 11 11

M. C. 13]

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, ...16th September 192..

No. C 1092 / 28-9

Encl Corrtd No.

FROM

A. K. Syed Raj Ispraf, Esq., B.A.,
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore



W 465

TO

P. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.81[illegible] 200-29-6 dated the -- August 1929 re repayment of the loan taken by the Sri Sharadamba Vedic Veda Maha Patasala, Bangalore, has been sent by R.L.A. with his office No.1092/28-29 dated 13th July 1929 to Managers and Secretaries of Taluks for being adjusted to arrears of Sri Malola Mahasansthan temple and Vowle Krishnadeva charities in the proportion of 4 : 1 as directed by Government. The rents of the succeeding crops will similarly be remitted.

The question of recovery of arrears of kandayam from Todi ---- Saryasihalli Estate, Devanhalli Taluk, which is on appeal in the Revenue Commissioner's office has not been decided (vide para 4 of his No. Appl 475/28-9 Dated 31st August 1929 addressed to us) (on receipt of the decision of the Revenue Commissioner, the amount - the same will be appropriated towards repayment of the loans, as directed.

As regards the utilisation of Rs.600/- (pay of Inspector and clerk) towards the reduction of Math's debt the amount will be drawn and adjusted in the manner stated in para 2 of your letter. In this connection, I beg to state that a sum of Rs.[illegible] is not realised a year being the contribution for the 3 years from 1926-27 to 28-29 has been received from the [illegible] Mutt Estates etc

Establishment Fund in 28-29. While a sum of Rs.585-10-0 being the clerks' pay has as shown in the annexed statement, also been paid out of Mutt funds from 1st July 1927 to the end of August 1928 the pay from September 1928 onwards having been paid from Muzrai Establishment Fund. This amount (viz., Rs.585-10-0) has now to be refunded to the matt from the Muzrai Establishment fund as otherwise it would be a double charge on the matt.

I request therefore that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained to the amount of Rs.580-10-0 being drawn from the -- Establishment fund and utilised towards repayment of the loan.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

C 1092 Vol 35

Office of the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,
Dated Bangalore, 28th August 1929.

3/

Appeal case No.475 of 28-29.

Appellant:-- Mr. C. Raghunatha Rao, Permanent tenant of Jodi Sanyasihalli village, Devanahalli Taluk.

Subject.

Appeal against the order of the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, confirming the sale for arrears of Jodi of the appellant's right, title and interest of the lands in his holding in the Jodi Sanyasihalli village, Devanahalli Taluk.

Facts of the case.

Sanyasihalli is a jodi village attached to the Sivaganga Mutt under the management of Government for over thirty years. In their order dated 1st June 1895, Government accepting the darkhast of Mr. Murray Ansley sanctioned the grant to him for cultivation on shraya tenure of the whole of the jodi village wit the exception of the minor inams on condition of his paying the full assessment for the fifth and subsequent years. The grante after enjoying the lands till 1898-99 sold the property to one Mrs.Blake, who having paid the assessment for 1899-1900 allowed that of the following years to fall into arrears. As she did not pay them, the estate was forfeited and sold on 20th August 1903 and as none came forward to bid it was resumed to Governme Subsequently one Mr.Koundinya having agreed to pay the whole outstanding arrear of Rs.2,000-9-2, his offer was accepted and the estate was put into his possession the amount having been subsequently paid by him. Government in their order dated 31s March 1905 were pleased to sanction to the lessee shraya rates commencing from 1904-05 the year of grant up to 1911-12. The records do not show how long Mr. Koundinya enjoyed the estate and when he transferred his right to it to Mr. C. Raghunatha Rao. Mr. Raghunatha Rao complained to the Deputy Commissioner on 23rd August 1914 that the assessment for the jodi Sanyasihalli village was excessive and that the assessed area in the village

included gomal and kharab lands and also the bed of a tank, and prayed that as coffee could not be grown on the lands the assessment thereon might be removed. As he continued to agitate and press for the reduction of assessment without making any payment of jodi to Government from the year 1909-10, the right, title and interest of Mr. C. Raghunatha Rao in the Jodi village were advertised for sale on 5th April 1916, 18th October 1916, 23rd April 1917. As he continued to make default and make only part payments, the arrears due by him up to the close of the year 1920-21 had accumulated to the large sum of Rs.5,097-10-6 as shown in the margin. In the meanwhile, on account of the damages caused by the encampment of the Military Regiments in the jodi Sanyasihalli village in November and December 1921, a sum of Rs.1913-8-0 was paid to Mr. C. Raghunatha Rao in March 1921 in this behalf. The Amildar reported in August 1924 that when the appellant was asked to pay up the arrear which had swollen to Rs.6,992-4-0 up to the end of 1923-24 he stated that he had himself to get sums from Government due on account of military occupation and that he was not inclined to accept the ruling of Government which had awarded him Rs.2500/- and that therefore fresh notice had been issued to him. After long correspondence, Government in their order No.R.6310-11/L.R.349-22-8 dated 7th June 1924 directed that Mr. C. Raghunatha Rao's resignation of the two survey numbers 5 and 2 of the Jodi village should be accepted with effect from that year and that he should be treated as a permanent tenant in respect of the lands contained in his holding with full powers of alienation etc., such as those possessed by a Kadim tenant. As the default however continued, the Amildar reported among

x

Year.		Amount due. Rs. A. P.
1912-13.	...	308 - 7 - 6
1913-14.	...	583 - 2 - 9
1914-15.	...	583 - 2 - 9
1915-16.	...	583 - 2 - 9
1916-17.	...	583 - 2 - 9
1917-18.	...	614 - 2 - 0
1918-19.	...	614 - 2 - 0
1919-20.	...	614 - 2 - 0
1920-21.	...	614 - 2 - 0
Total...		Rs.5,097 - 10 - 6

other things that excepting the payments noted in the margin[x] made by the defaulter on the dates of sales the last payment being made on 16th October 1916, not a pie was paid since then towards the arrear; that each time the land was notified, Mr. Raghunatha Rao put in some obstacle or other in way; that when steps were taken to notify the village for sale on 20th June 1925, Mr. C. Raghunatha Rao petitioned raising objection for the first time that as he was a tenant, he was not the person to pay Land Revenue arrears for which the village was notified and that Government being the trustees of the Sivaganga Mutt they could only exercise the right possessed by the Mutt itself. On 13th June 1925 Mr. C. Raghunatha Rao represented to the Deputy Commissioner that the sum of Rs.6224-6-6 and interest therein claimed from him as Land Revenue arrears was not due from him that as Government had in their order dated 7th June 1924 declared him to be a tenant under a superior holder "The Sivaganga Mutt", he would become responsible to pay the jodi to Government only when it was not paid by the superior holder; that as what was due to Government on the estate from the superior holder "the Mutt" was only the jodi, any proclamation for sale of property could only be for the recovery of the jodi; that he had no notice that the jodi due to Government was left unpaid and that he was prepared to pay the jodi on behalf of the superior holder. As regards the amount of rent due from him to the superior holder "the Mutt", he stated that the trustee or the Agent of the Mutt who in this case is the Muzrai Department claimed higher powers of commission than those possessed by the Mutt itself and requested that the procedure adopted which was irregular might be dropped. Government however in their order dated 6th August 1925 declined to sanction remission of assessment on S.Nos.5 and 2 of the village as prayed for by the appellant for the years previous to 1923-24, and directed his resignation for the lands to be given effect to from 1923-24. On 10th October 1925 the Deputy Commissioner observed that the question of limitation would only come in for dues of the

x
Rs.1000/- on 4th April 1916.
Rs.30-15-3 on 13th June 1916.
Rs.1000/- on 16th October 1916.

years prior to the village coming under Government management, that as all the dues applied only to years after the village was taken to Government management, no question of limitation would arise; and directed that the tenancy rights of Mr.C. Raghunatha Rao in all lands except those relinquished by him should be sold after duly notifying in the Gazette. The sale accordingly held on 28th ~~Dec~~ January 1926 was cancelled by the Deputy Commissioner on 5th August 1927 as there were not clear 30 days between the date of service of the sale notification on the appellant and the date of sale. The Deputy Commissioner therefore directed the holding of a fresh sale, at which Government might bid and buy the interest of the holder if there were no proper bids. On 8th September 1927 a notice for a sum of Rs.8937-1-3 up to end of 1927-28 issued from the Taluk office was served on the appellant's son on 2nd October 1927.. As the arrear was not forthcoming, the right, title and interest of the appellant in the lands in his holding were again advertised for sale, the date of sale being fixed to 2nd March 1928. As Mr. C. Raghunatha Rao was not in the place, the sale notification was served on his son on 25th January 1928. After giving wide publicity to the sale Istihar, the Sub Division Officer held the sale on 2nd March 1928; and as none offered bids for the land, it was bought by the Amildar for Rs. 1,500/- on behalf of the Matt. Mr. C. Raghunatha Rao, who was present at the sale, made a shara on the sale notification that he had submitted his representations in the matter. In ~~submitting~~ submitting the sale records to the Deputy Commissioner, the Sub Division Officer recommended the confirmation of the sale and the disposal of the land for cultivation after subdividing it into small numbers. The appellant at the time of the sale is stated to have told the Sub Division Officer that Government, who was managing the Inam village, could have no higher authority than the Principal, that it could recover rent only for two years direct; and that for any arrears for more than that period not exceeding six years ought to be recovered through a Civil Court; but the Sub Division Officer entirely disagreeing with him stated that the

case was governed by Section 12 of the Muzrai Regulation and that when the appellant was asked to pay at least the jodi due to Government and the six years' rent to the Mutt, he pleaded his inability to pay the amount immediately and the village was thereupon put to sale.

The appellant ~~then~~ preferred an appeal to the Deputy Commissioner; but as the latter found that the sale was regularly held, he confirmed it. The appellant then made representations to the Deputy Commissioner that he would lose heavily if the sale was confirmed as he had handsome offers from outsiders who hesitated to buy on account of the sale proceedings. The Deputy Commissioner observed that this was an empty excuse, that nothing prevented him from coming to terms with the prospective buyer and settling the Government and Jodidar's dues and asking the purchaser to pay the amounts due to Government; that Government ~~xx~~ would allow him time to sell the lands and pay the full amount due to the Mutt; and that he (Deputy Commissioner) was prepared to make such a recommendation if the appellant agreed to pay up the dues of the Matt in six months; and ordered that if the amount due to the Mutt was not paid within six months on these conditions, Government would parcel out the lands into small survey numbers and dispose of them on behalf of the Mutt by auction.

An appeal from the Deputy Commissioner's order has been preferred to this office.

The case came up for final hearing on 2nd July 1929 when the appellant was present and heard.

O r d e r.

There are many irregularities in the case. The Deputy Commissioner states in his order that the village has been sold for arrears of jodi also. This is not correct/ as will be seen from the Istihar and the sale patti where only the right, title and interest of the defaulter have been mentioned. Besides, it is not stated in the sale records that the sale is to take place for arrears of jodi due to Government; nor is it mentioned in

any place as to what the jodi arrears are. Besides, the arrears of jodi are to be paid only by the Mutt who is the Inamdar and not Mr. Raghunatha Rao who is only a Khadim tenant of the major portion of the village. However the sale proceedings regarding the arrears due from him are in order in as much as coercive process was adopted as soon as the arrears became due in 1912-13 and the plea of limitation advanced by the appellant does not hold good. But then in the sale of the village is bought by the Amildar on behalf of the Mutt for Rs.1500/- and no money has been credited from the Mutt funds in this behalf. The mere fact that the village is under Government management does not justify non-compliance with the provisions of the Code regarding the method of payment of the auction price and arrangements should have been made before buying in the village for the Mutt to get the funds required to pay the deposit and the balance of the purchase money remaining. It is also on record that the Deputy Commissioner has awarded Rs.4,000/- and odd for the Military occupation of the land. But the Military authorities paid only Rs.1,900/- and odd. No doubt the appellant failed to obtain redress in a Civil Suit against the two Governments. But that appears to be on technical grounds. Then again, it is on record that from 1923-24 onwards the Government permitted the assessment on the kharab Nos.2 and 5 to be written off considering the appellant to have resigned it. But from the time the land was taken up by Mr. Koundinya his predecessor in title they have been agitating that these two numbers were never under the plough and that their assessment might be remitted in view of the fact that coffee cultivation was given up as being found impracticable and the use that has been made of the two numbers as seen from the records was, that the grass grown thereon was used for grazing the cattle of the appellant and taking dried wood etc., and also selling grass to the military. As the appellant was the khadim tenant for the whole village he has naturally the right to graze his cattle in gomal and kharab numbers other than Hulbanni kharabs and remove dead wood free of charge as an occupant in a Government village and that he should be asked to pay the heavy assessment

of about Rs.2/- per acre fixed on the whole extent of gomal and kharab land does not seem to be ~~fair~~ fair. The original valuation survey shows this extent as kharab. Of course under the strict conditions of the grant the appellant has no right to demand remission of the dues on these two numbers, the obligation to pay higher assessment being a matter of ~~xxxxxx~~ contract. Under the Land Revenue Rules, it is provided that if a man derives no benefit from an assessed land, its assessment may be remitted. It cannot be said that it was due to his neglect that he could not cultivate the land and he is entitled to the same concessions as an occupant in a Government village and in as much as the Government is managing the inam village, the Government can exercise with respect to the inam village the same powers that it can in a sircar village vide Section 237 Land Revenue Code. I am therefore disposed to show him some concession. It is not possible to restore the status quo before coffee rules were fixed with retrospective effect though it is but fair to allow this concession in future. As regards the past dues, half the items concerning these lands may be remitted. It is a pity that on account of the tangled nature of the case, no effective action has been taken to recover the arrears and the proceedings already taken are abortive for the reasons already explained. But it will not be fair to confirm the sale when there are such obvious irregularities. The Jodi due to Government and the amount due as Kayamgutta to the Inamdar should not be mixed up. The procedure to be followed in either case is not identical. For these reasons the sale will be set aside. If Mr. Raghunatha Rao will make arrangements to pay the Jodi and the rent due to the Mutt on the basis explained above within two months, further proceedings may be stayed. Otherwise arrangements should be made to recoup the amount due as jodi by the Mutt and separate action taken

according

P.T.O.

according to the law to enforce the arrears of rent.

(Sd). P.G. D'SOUZA.
Revenue Commissioner.

M e m o.

Copy is forwarded, with compliments, to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore, with reference to correspondence ending with his letter No.C.6-4799/28-29 dated the 1st June 1929 for information and needful action.

2. The connected vernacular papers are herewith returned.

Sd. R.Narasimha Iyengar.
For Revenue Commissioner.

Memo.

Copy is forwarded with compliments to the Amrai Commissioner in Mysore, for information.

For Revenue Commissioner

BR./8.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read:— Letter No. C.1092 of 1928-29 dated the 14th/16th September 1929 from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore reporting that the rent of Rs. 200/- for the months of February to May 1929 paid by the Sri Chamarajendra Veda Maha Patasala, Bangalore, has been sent to the Mudgere and Seringapatam Taluks, towards part adjustment of the loans of the Sri Kalaneswaraswamy temple and the Nowl Krishna Doss Charities, that the arrears of Kandayam from the Jodi Sanyasihalli estate would also be appropriated towards the repayment of the loans, when the same is recovered, that the sum of Rs. 300/- per mensem, provided in the scale of expenditure of the Matt on account of the pay of the Sivganga Matt Clerk working in the Muzrai Commissioner's Office, would be utilised for reducing the Matt's debts, as contribution for the Muzrai Establishment Fund was being separately recovered from the funds of the Matt, and requesting the refund to the Matt funds from the Muzrai Establishment Fund, of the sum of Rs. 585-10-0 which was drawn in excess on account of the pay of the above mentioned Clerk from 1st July 1926 to the end of August 1928.

Order No. F 37-8/Muz 260-29-8

Dated, Bangalore, the 22nd October 1929.

Government are pleased to sanction the recommendation of the Muzrai Commissioner for a refund of the excess drawn on account of the Clerk pay to the Clerk during the period in question, the amount being credited to the loan.

By Order of Government,

Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore with the sanctioned statement.

The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read :— Letter No. C.1092 of 1928-29 dated the 14th/16th September 1929 from the Guard Commissioner in Bangalore requesting that the rent of Rs. 200/- for the months of February to May 1929 paid by the Srikantadatta Veda Vidya Pathasala, Melkote, may be sent to the Huzur Core and Seringapatam Talukas, towards adjustment of the losses of the Sri Kalaseswaraswamy temple and the Lord Krishna Deva Utsava Mantapa attached to the Lord Narasimhaswamy temple of Kandayam from the Joint Sumbarahalli estate would be appropriated towards the recovery of the loans, viz., the sum of Rs. 300/- per mensem, provided in the scale of expenditure of the said estate, now to be recovered, the sum of Rs. 300/- per mensem, provided in the scale of expenditure of the said estate for the year 1929-30 was transferred Mott Dairy working in the Huzur Government Office, would be utilised for reducing the debts, no contribution for the Huzur Establishment Fund was being separately received from the Fund of the said, and recommending the said sum to the said Huzur Government Establishment Fund Ex-Establishment Fund, of the sum of Rs. 988-12-0 "from 1/ July 1929 to the end of March 1930.

Order

Dated, Bangalore, the October 1929.

Government are pleased to sanction the [illegible] Huzur Government for a refund of [illegible] amount paid, to credit, to the Land Revenue Demand by the Sri Kalaseswaraswamy temple and the Lord Krishna Deva Utsava Mantapa attached to the Narasimhaswamy temple of Kandayam from the Joint Sumbarahalli estate would be appropriated towards the recovery of the loans, viz., the sum of Rs. 300/- per mensem, provided in the scale of expenditure of the said estate for the year 1929-30 was transferred Mott Dairy working in the Huzur Government Office, would be utilised for reducing the debts, no contribution for the Huzur Establishment Fund was being separately received from the Fund of the said, and recommending the said sum to the said Huzur Government Establishment Fund Ex-Establishment Fund, of the sum of Rs. 988-12-0 "from 1/ July 1929 to the end of March 1930.

Order

Dated, Bangalore, the October 1929.

G.R.S. App. F VI G-2]

SUBJECT..... .....

.....

... .

Diary No. of letter replied to 465

Section Emp-dai

File No. 260 28

Serial No. 8

General and revenue Secretariat

(Bangalore)

the *192* .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 22-10-29

Signed by Mr L.K. Sonde Maraj Urs

Read—

Letter No C.10 92/28-, dated the 16th/16th Sept 1929 from the Emigrant Commissioner to Mysore requesting that the sum of Rs 200/- for the months of February to June 1929 spent by the Sri Chamarajendra Veda Maha Pathasala, Bangalore, has been sent to the

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

~~Order Thereon—No.~~

AL

RS

26/10/29

Tirudpore and Seniya-
Pattam Valuks, towards
part adjustment of
the loans of the Sri
Kulasekaranatha Swamy
Temple and the Wood
Krishna Das Charities,
that the arrears of
Kandayan Jewan
the Jagir Sarvasikaldi
Estate be treated
also be appropriated
towards the repayment
of the loans, when the
same is received,
that the sum of Rs 300/-
per measem, provided
in the Scale of Expendi-
ture of the matt for
the an account of the
Dewy. of the Sivaganga
matt Clerk Inserting
in the Inam Commrs
office, should be retained

List of enclosure.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0326—GPB—12,500—26-5 27

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

for reduction of the malt debt, as contribution for the Insurance Establishment Fund was [illegible], deducted from the fund [illegible] malt, and requesting the refund, to the malt fund from Insurance Establishment Fund, of the sum of Rs 5-85-00 which was ~~[illegible]~~ deducted on account of the duty of the above mentioned Clerk from 1st July 1926 to the end of August 1928.

Order No. 837-8322 L.
Endorsement dated [illegible]

Sanction the recommendation of the

Inverness Courier
refund of the ~~Assessment~~ sums drawn on account of the Rev. Mr. Clark
~~to the Parish~~, the amount
having also credited
to the Town.

GPB 14/10

W.F.C. Secy to Town

To
The Inverness Courier in accordance
with the above-mentioned Statement.
The Commissioners to Town

C.C.
14/10/29.

GPB—15,000—13 11 11